



# Certification Report

**BSI-DSZ-CC-0941-2016**

for

**MTCOS Pro 2.2 EAC with PACE /  
SLE78CLX M7820 V2**

from

**MaskTech International GmbH**

BSI - Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik, Postfach 20 03 63, D-53133 Bonn  
Phone +49 (0)228 99 9582-0, Fax +49 (0)228 9582-5477, Infoline +49 (0)228 99 9582-111



Bundesamt  
für Sicherheit in der  
Informationstechnik

# Deutsches IT-Sicherheitszertifikat

erteilt vom Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik

**BSI-DSZ-CC-0941-2016 (\*)**

Security IC with MRTD EAC/PACE Application

**MTCOS Pro 2.2 EAC with PACE / SLE78CLX M7820 V2**

from MaskTech International GmbH

PP Conformance: Machine Readable Travel Document with "ICAO Application" Extended Access Control with PACE (EAC PP), Version 1.3.2, 05 December 2012, BSI-CC-PP-0056-V2-2012-MA-02

Functionality: PP conformant  
Common Criteria Part 2 extended

Assurance: Common Criteria Part 3 conformant  
EAL 5 augmented by ALC\_DVS.2 and AVA\_VAN.5



SOGIS  
Recognition Agreement



The IT Product identified in this certificate has been evaluated at an approved evaluation facility using the Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation (CEM), Version 3.1 extended by Scheme Interpretations, by advice of the Certification Body for components beyond EAL 5 and CC Supporting Documents as listed in the Certification Report for conformance to the Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation (CC), Version 3.1. CC and CEM are also published as ISO/IEC 15408 and ISO/IEC 18045.

(\*) This certificate applies only to the specific version and release of the product in its evaluated configuration and in conjunction with the complete Certification Report and Notification. For details on the validity see Certification Report part A chapter 4

The evaluation has been conducted in accordance with the provisions of the certification scheme of the German Federal Office for Information Security (BSI) and the conclusions of the evaluation facility in the evaluation technical report are consistent with the evidence adduced.

This certificate is not an endorsement of the IT Product by the Federal Office for Information Security or any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, and no warranty of the IT Product by the Federal Office for Information Security or any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, is either expressed or implied.

Bonn, 29 April 2016

For the Federal Office for Information Security

Bernd Kowalski  
Head of Department

L.S.

**Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik**

Godesberger Allee 185-189 - D-53175 Bonn - Postfach 20 03 63 - D-53133 Bonn  
Phone +49 (0)228 99 9582-0 - Fax +49 (0)228 9582-5477 - Infoline +49 (0)228 99 9582-111



Common Criteria  
Recognition Arrangement  
for components up to  
EAL 4



Deutsche  
Akkreditierungsstelle  
D-ZE-19615-01-00

This page is intentionally left blank.

## Preliminary Remarks

Under the BSI<sup>1</sup> Act, the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI) has the task of issuing certificates for information technology products.

Certification of a product is carried out on the instigation of the vendor or a distributor, hereinafter called the sponsor.

A part of the procedure is the technical examination (evaluation) of the product according to the security criteria published by the BSI or generally recognised security criteria.

The evaluation is normally carried out by an evaluation facility recognised by the BSI or by BSI itself.

The result of the certification procedure is the present Certification Report. This report contains among others the certificate (summarised assessment) and the detailed Certification Results.

The Certification Results contain the technical description of the security functionality of the certified product, the details of the evaluation (strength and weaknesses) and instructions for the user.

---

<sup>1</sup> Act on the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI-Gesetz - BSI<sup>1</sup>G) of 14 August 2009, Bundesgesetzblatt I p. 2821

## Contents

|                                                         |    |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----|
| A. Certification.....                                   | 7  |
| 1. Specifications of the Certification Procedure.....   | 7  |
| 2. Recognition Agreements.....                          | 7  |
| 3. Performance of Evaluation and Certification.....     | 9  |
| 4. Validity of the Certification Result.....            | 9  |
| 5. Publication.....                                     | 10 |
| B. Certification Results.....                           | 11 |
| 1. Executive Summary.....                               | 12 |
| 2. Identification of the TOE.....                       | 13 |
| 3. Security Policy.....                                 | 15 |
| 4. Assumptions and Clarification of Scope.....          | 15 |
| 5. Architectural Information.....                       | 16 |
| 6. Documentation.....                                   | 16 |
| 7. IT Product Testing.....                              | 16 |
| 8. Evaluated Configuration.....                         | 17 |
| 9. Results of the Evaluation.....                       | 19 |
| 10. Obligations and Notes for the Usage of the TOE..... | 20 |
| 11. Security Target.....                                | 21 |
| 12. Definitions.....                                    | 21 |
| 13. Bibliography.....                                   | 23 |
| C. Excerpts from the Criteria.....                      | 27 |
| CC Part 1:.....                                         | 27 |
| CC Part 3:.....                                         | 28 |
| D. Annexes.....                                         | 35 |

## A. Certification

### 1. Specifications of the Certification Procedure

The certification body conducts the procedure according to the criteria laid down in the following:

- Act on the Federal Office for Information Security<sup>2</sup>
- BSI Certification and Approval Ordinance<sup>3</sup>
- BSI Schedule of Costs<sup>4</sup>
- Special decrees issued by the Bundesministerium des Innern (Federal Ministry of the Interior)
- DIN EN ISO/IEC 17065 standard
- BSI certification: Scheme documentation describing the certification process (CC-Produkte) [3]
- BSI certification: Scheme documentation on requirements for the Evaluation Facility, its approval and licencing process (CC-Stellen) [3]
- Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation (CC), Version 3.1<sup>5</sup> [1] also published as ISO/IEC 15408.
- Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation (CEM), Version 3.1 [2] also published as ISO/IEC 18045.
- BSI certification: Application Notes and Interpretation of the Scheme (AIS) [4]

### 2. Recognition Agreements

In order to avoid multiple certification of the same product in different countries a mutual recognition of IT security certificates - as far as such certificates are based on ITSEC or CC - under certain conditions was agreed.

#### 2.1. European Recognition of ITSEC/CC – Certificates (SOGIS-MRA)

The SOGIS-Mutual Recognition Agreement (SOGIS-MRA) Version 3 became effective in April 2010. It defines the recognition of certificates for IT-Products at a basic recognition level and, in addition, at higher recognition levels for IT-Products related to certain SOGIS Technical Domains only.

---

<sup>2</sup> Act on the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI-Gesetz - BSIG) of 14 August 2009, Bundesgesetzblatt I p. 2821

<sup>3</sup> Ordinance on the Procedure for Issuance of Security Certificates and approval by the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI-Zertifizierungs- und -Anerkennungsverordnung - BSIZertV) of 17 December 2014, Bundesgesetzblatt 2014, part I, no. 61, p. 2231

<sup>4</sup> Schedule of Cost for Official Procedures of the Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI-Kostenverordnung, BSI-KostV) of 03 March 2005, Bundesgesetzblatt I p. 519

<sup>5</sup> Proclamation of the Bundesministerium des Innern of 12 February 2007 in the Bundesanzeiger dated 23 February 2007, p. 3730

The basic recognition level includes Common Criteria (CC) Evaluation Assurance Levels EAL 1 to EAL 4 and ITSEC Evaluation Assurance Levels E1 to E3 (basic). For "Smartcards and similar devices" a SOGIS Technical Domain is in place. For "HW Devices with Security Boxes" a SOGIS Technical Domains is in place, too. In addition, certificates issued for Protection Profiles based on Common Criteria are part of the recognition agreement.

The new agreement has been signed by the national bodies of Austria, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, The Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom. The current list of signatory nations and approved certification schemes, details on recognition, and the history of the agreement can be seen on the website at <https://www.sogisportal.eu>.

The SOGIS-MRA logo printed on the certificate indicates that it is recognised under the terms of this agreement by the nations listed above.

This certificate is recognized under SOGIS-MRA for all assurance components selected.

## **2.2. International Recognition of CC – Certificates (CCRA)**

The international arrangement on the mutual recognition of certificates based on the CC (Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement, CCRA-2014) has been ratified on 08 September 2014. It covers CC certificates based on collaborative Protection Profiles (cPP) (exact use), CC certificates based on assurance components up to and including EAL 2 or the assurance family Flaw Remediation (ALC\_FLR) and CC certificates for Protection Profiles and for collaborative Protection Profiles (cPP).

The CCRA-2014 replaces the old CCRA signed in May 2000 (CCRA-2000). Certificates based on CCRA-2000, issued before 08 September 2014 are still under recognition according to the rules of CCRA-2000. For on 08 September 2014 ongoing certification procedures and for Assurance Continuity (maintenance and re-certification) of old certificates a transition period on the recognition of certificates according to the rules of CCRA-2000 (i.e. assurance components up to and including EAL 4 or the assurance family Flaw Remediation (ALC\_FLR)) is defined until 08 September 2017.

As of September 2014 the signatories of the new CCRA-2014 are government representatives from the following nations: Australia, Austria, Canada, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, India, Israel, Italy, Japan, Malaysia, The Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Pakistan, Republic of Korea, Singapore, Spain, Sweden, Turkey, United Kingdom, and the United States.

The current list of signatory nations and approved certification schemes can be seen on the website: <http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org>.

The Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement logo printed on the certificate indicates that this certification is recognised under the terms of this agreement by the nations listed above.

As this certificate is a re-certification of a certificate issued according to CCRA-2000 this certificate is recognized according to the rules of CCRA-2000, i.e. up to and including CC part 3 EAL 4 components. The evaluation contained the components ALC\_DVS.2 and AVA\_VAN.5 that are not mutually recognised in accordance with the provisions of the CCRA-2000, for mutual recognition the EAL 4 components of these assurance families are relevant.



### 3. Performance of Evaluation and Certification

The certification body monitors each individual evaluation to ensure a uniform procedure, a uniform interpretation of the criteria and uniform ratings.

The product MTCOS Pro 2.2 EAC with PACE / SLE78CLX M7820 V2 has undergone the certification procedure at BSI. This is a re-certification based on BSI-DSZ-CC-0850-2013. Specific results from the evaluation process BSI-DSZ-CC-0850-2013 were re-used.

The evaluation of the product MTCOS Pro 2.2 EAC with PACE / SLE78CLX M7820 V2 was conducted by SRC Security Research & Consulting GmbH. The evaluation was completed on 27 April 2016. SRC Security Research & Consulting GmbH is an evaluation facility (ITSEF)<sup>6</sup> recognised by the certification body of BSI.

For this certification procedure the sponsor and applicant is:  
MaskTech International GmbH.

The product was developed by:  
MaskTech International GmbH.

The certification is concluded with the comparability check and the production of this Certification Report. This work was completed by the BSI.

### 4. Validity of the Certification Result

This Certification Report only applies to the version of the product as indicated. The confirmed assurance package is only valid on the condition that

- all stipulations regarding generation, configuration and operation, as given in the following report, are observed,
- the product is operated in the environment described, as specified in the following report and in the Security Target.

For the meaning of the assurance levels please refer to the excerpts from the criteria at the end of the Certification Report or in the CC itself.

The Certificate issued confirms the assurance of the product claimed in the Security Target at the date of certification. As attack methods evolve over time, the resistance of the certified version of the product against new attack methods needs to be re-assessed. Therefore, the sponsor should apply for the certified product being monitored within the assurance continuity program of the BSI Certification Scheme (e.g. by a re-certification). Specifically, if results of the certification are used in subsequent evaluation and certification procedures, in a system integration process or if a user's risk management needs regularly updated results, it is recommended to perform a re-assessment on a regular e.g. annual basis.

In order to avoid an indefinite usage of the certificate when evolved attack methods require a re-assessment of the products resistance to state of the art attack methods, the maximum validity of the certificate has been limited. The certificate issued on 29 April 2016 is valid until 28 April 2021. Validity can be re-newed by re-certification.

---

<sup>6</sup> Information Technology Security Evaluation Facility

The owner of the certificate is obliged:

1. when advertising the certificate or the fact of the product's certification, to refer to the Certification Report as well as to provide the Certification Report, the Security Target and user guidance documentation mentioned herein to any customer of the product for the application and usage of the certified product,
2. to inform the Certification Body at BSI immediately about vulnerabilities of the product that have been identified by the developer or any third party after issuance of the certificate,
3. to inform the Certification Body at BSI immediately in the case that security relevant changes in the evaluated life cycle, e.g. related to development and production sites or processes, occur, or the confidentiality of documentation and information related to the Target of Evaluation (TOE) or resulting from the evaluation and certification procedure where the certification of the product has assumed this confidentiality being maintained, is not given any longer. In particular, prior to the dissemination of confidential documentation and information related to the TOE or resulting from the evaluation and certification procedure that do not belong to the deliverables according to the Certification Report part B, or for those where no dissemination rules have been agreed on, to third parties, the Certification Body at BSI has to be informed.

In case of changes to the certified version of the product, the validity can be extended to the new versions and releases, provided the sponsor applies for assurance continuity (i.e. re-certification or maintenance) of the modified product, in accordance with the procedural requirements, and the evaluation does not reveal any security deficiencies.

## 5. Publication

The product MTCOS Pro 2.2 EAC with PACE / SLE78CLX M7820 V2 has been included in the BSI list of certified products, which is published regularly (see also Internet: <https://www.bsi.bund.de> and [5]). Further information can be obtained from BSI-Infoline +49 228 9582-111.

Further copies of this Certification Report can be requested from the developer<sup>7</sup> of the product. The Certification Report may also be obtained in electronic form at the internet address stated above.

---

<sup>7</sup> MaskTech International GmbH  
Nordostpark 16  
90411 Nürnberg

## **B. Certification Results**

The following results represent a summary of

- the Security Target of the sponsor for the Target of Evaluation,
- the relevant evaluation results from the evaluation facility, and
- complementary notes and stipulations of the certification body.

## 1. Executive Summary

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is the product MTCOS Pro 2.2 EAC with PACE / SLE78CLX M7820 V2 provided by MaskTech International GmbH and based on the hardware platform M7820 A11 by Infineon. It is an electronic travel document (Machine Readable Travel Document – MRTD) representing a contactless/contact-based smart card programmed according to ICAO Technical Report “Supplemental Access Control” [25] and additionally providing the Extended Access Control according to the ICAO documents [26] and [27] and the Technical Guideline TR-03110, Version 2.10 [19], respectively. The communication between terminal and chip shall be protected by Password Authenticated Connection Establishment (PACE) according to the Protection Profile [8]. Additionally, Active Authentication according to the ICAO Technical Report [28] is provided.

For CC evaluation the following applications of corresponding product are considered:

- Passport Application (ePassport) containing the related user data (incl. biometric data) as well as the data needed for authentication (incl. MRZ); with this application the TOE is intended to be used as a machine readable travel document (MRTD).

The Security Target [6] is the basis for this certification. It is based on the following certified Protection Profile:

- Machine Readable Travel Document with "ICAO Application", Extended Access Control with PACE (EAC PP), Version 1.3.2, 5 December 2012, BSI-CC-PP-0056-V2-2012-MA-02 [7].

The Protection Profile above is strict conformant to the following Protection Profile. In result, the TOE is also conformant to this PP.

- Machine Readable Travel Document using Standard Inspection Procedure with PACE (PACE PP), Version 1.0, 2 November 2011, BSI-CC-PP-0068-V2-2011 [8].

Please note that in consistency to the claimed protection profile BSI-CC-PP-0056-V2-2012-MA-02 the security mechanisms *Password Authenticated Connection Establishment* and *Extended Access Control* are in the focus of this evaluation process.

The TOE Security Assurance Requirements (SAR) are based entirely on the assurance components defined in Part 3 of the Common Criteria (see part C or [1], Part 3 for details). The TOE meets the assurance requirements of the Evaluation Assurance Level EAL 5 augmented by ALC\_DVS.2 and AVA\_VAN.5.

The TOE Security Functional Requirements (SFR) relevant for the TOE are outlined in the Security Target [6] and [9], chapter 6.1. They are selected from Common Criteria Part 2 and some of them are newly defined. Thus the TOE is CC Part 2 extended.

The TOE Security Functional Requirements are implemented by the following TOE Security Functionality:

| TOE Security Functionality | Addressed Issue                                                                                                          |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| F.IC_CL                    | Security Functions of the Hardware (IC) and Crypto Library                                                               |
| F.Access_Control           | Regulates all access by external entities to operations of the TOE which are only executed after this TSF allowed access |

| TOE Security Functionality      | Addressed Issue                                                                                |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| F.Identification_Authentication | Provides identification/authentication of the user roles                                       |
| F.Management                    | Provides management and administrative functionalities                                         |
| F.Crypto                        | Provides a high level interface to the used algorithms and implements the used hash algorithms |
| F.Verification                  | TOE internal functions to ensure correct operation                                             |

Table 1: TOE Security Functionalities

For more details please refer to the Security Target [6] and [9], chapter 7.

The assets to be protected by the TOE are defined in the Security Target [6] and [9], chapter 3.1. Based on these assets the TOE Security Problem is defined in terms of Assumptions, Threats and Organisational Security Policies. This is outlined in the Security Target [6] and [9], chapter 3.

This certification covers the following configurations of the TOE (for details refer to chapter 8 of this report):

- the circuitry of the MRTD's chip (the integrated circuit, IC),
- the IC Dedicated Software with the parts IC Dedicated Test Software and IC Dedicated Support Software,
- the IC Embedded Software (operating system),
- the ePassport Application, and
- the associated guidance documentation.

The vulnerability assessment results as stated within this certificate do not include a rating for those cryptographic algorithms and suitable for encryption and decryption (see BSIG Section 9, Para. 4, Clause 2).

The certification results only apply to the version of the product indicated in the certificate and on the condition that all the stipulations are kept as detailed in this Certification Report. This certificate is not an endorsement of the IT product by the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI) or any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, and no warranty of the IT product by BSI or any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, is either expressed or implied.

## 2. Identification of the TOE

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is called:

**MTCOS Pro 2.2 EAC with PACE / SLE78CLX M7820 V2**

The following table outlines the TOE deliverables:

| No | Type      | Identifier                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Release                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Form of Delivery                                                                                                                             |
|----|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1  | HW/<br>SW | <p>MTCOS Pro 2.2 EAC with PACE / SLE78CLX M7820 V2</p> <p>An initialised module, but <u>without</u> hardware for the contactless interface, consisting of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Hardware Platform</b><br/>Infineon Technologies AG M7820 A11 with optional RSA2048/4096 v1.02.008, ECv1.02.008, SHA-2 v1.01 and Toolbox v1.02.008 libraries and with specific IC dedicated software<sup>8</sup></li> <li><b>TOE Embedded Software</b><br/>IC Embedded Software (the operating system MTCOS Pro 2.2, implemented in ROM/EEPROM of the IC)</li> <li><b>TOE Embedded Applications</b><br/>IC Embedded Software / Part Application Software (containing the MRTD Application implemented in the EEPROM of the IC)</li> </ol> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>HW Stepping: A11, Firmware Package 2 (IFX Overall Patch 8014)<br/>CL: v1.02.008</li> <li>and 3.<br/>MTCOS Pro Version 2.2 patch 0v4<br/>Short ROM Code: MT07<br/>Product Code M7820-A028-11</li> <li>Init scripts: 14063 (Layouts 0) or 14504 (SVN)<br/>Prepersonalisation scripts: 14063 (SVN)<br/>Memory dumps: 14537 (SVN)</li> </ol> | <p>SW implemented in ROM and EEPROM memory, chip initialised and tested.</p> <p>Delivery type:<br/>Different module types and sawn wafer</p> |
| 2  | DOC       | MTCOS Pro 2.2 EAC with PACE / SLE78CLX M7820 User Guidance, MaskTech International GmbH                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Version 0.5, 24.09.2015 [11]                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Document in electronic form                                                                                                                  |
| 3  | DOC       | MTCOS Standard & Pro: Part 1 – File System and Related Commands, MaskTech GmbH                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Version 3.1, 27.03.2014 [12]                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Document in electronic form                                                                                                                  |
| 4  | DOC       | MTCOS Standard & Pro: Part 2 – Access Control Mechanisms and Symmetric Cryptography, MaskTech GmbH                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Version 2.1, 03.06.2013 [13]                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Document in electronic form                                                                                                                  |
| 5  | DOC       | MTCOS Pro: Part 3 – Digital Signature, MaskTech GmbH                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Version 1.0, 22.05.2013 [14]                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Document in electronic form                                                                                                                  |
| 6  | DOC       | MTCOS Pro: Part 5 – Advanced Security Mechanisms, Asymmetric Cryptography, MaskTech GmbH                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Version 2.0, 28.03.2013 [15]                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Document in electronic form                                                                                                                  |
| 7  | DOC       | MTCOS Std & Pro: Product Specification – MTCOS Pro V2.2 on SLE78CLX M7820 V2, MaskTech GmbH                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Version 1.3, 04.09.2015 [16]                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Document in electronic form                                                                                                                  |

Table 2: Deliverables of the TOE

<sup>8</sup> For details on the MRTD chip and the IC Dedicated Software see Certification Report BSI-DSZ-CC-0813-2012 [17]

The customer specific ROM mask is labelled by IFX as MT07. The name of the ROM file transferred from Masktech International GmbH to Infineon Technologies AG is *mtcos\_sp\_v2.2\_sle78clx1600p.asc*.

The product code of the TOE-ES by Infineon is M7820-A028-11.

Please note that the TOE is either delivered as

- different modules or
- sawn wafer.

The TOE is finalized at the end of phase 2 according to the MRTD EAC/PACE PP [7]. The Delivery is performed from the initialization facility to the personalisation facility respectively the inlay manufacturer as a secured transport to a specific person of contact at the personalization site or inlay manufacturing site. The TOE itself will be delivered as an initialized module but without hardware for contactless interface to the inlay manufacturer, who securely delivers the inlay containing the pre-personalized MRTD to the personalisation facility. The inlay production including the application of the antenna is not part of the TOE and takes part after the delivery from the initialization facility. Furthermore, the personalizer receives information about the personalization commands and process requirements. To ensure that the personalizer receives this evaluated version, the procedures to start the personalisation process as described in the User's Guide [11] have to be followed.

### 3. Security Policy

The Security Policy of the TOE is defined according to the MRTD EAC/PACE PP [7] by the Security Objectives and Requirements for the contact-less chip of machine readable travel documents (MRTD) based on the requirements and recommendations of the International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO). The Security Policy addresses the advanced security methods for authentication and secure communication, which are described in detail in the Security Target [6] and [9].

### 4. Assumptions and Clarification of Scope

The Assumptions defined in the Security Target and some aspects of Threats and Organisational Security Policies are not covered by the TOE itself. These aspects lead to specific security objectives to be fulfilled by the TOE-Environment. The following topics are of relevance:

- OE.Legislative\_Compliance: Issuing of the travel document
- OE.Auth\_Key\_Travel\_Document: Travel document Authentication Key
- OE.Active\_Auth\_Key\_Travel\_Document: Travel document Active Authentication Key
- OE.Authoriz\_Sens\_Data: Authorization for Use of Sensitive Biometric Reference Data
- OE.Passive\_Auth\_Sign: Authentication of travel document by signature
- OE.Personalization: Personalization of travel document
- OE.Exam\_Travel\_Document: Examination of the physical part of the travel document
- OE.Prot\_Logical\_Travel\_Document: Protection of data from the logical travel document
- OE.Ext\_Insp\_Systems: Authorization of Extended Inspection Systems

- OE.Terminal: Terminal operating
- OE.Travel\_Document\_Holder: Travel document holder obligations

Details can be found in the Security Target [6] and [9], chapter 4.2.

## 5. Architectural Information

The TOE is a composite product. It is composed from an Integrated Circuit, IC Dedicated Software, and IC Embedded Software / Part Application Software (containing the MRTD Application implemented in the EEPROM of the IC). While the IC Embedded software contains the operating system MTCOS Pro 2.2, the Part Application Software contains the MRTD application. As all these parts of software are running inside the IC, the external interface of the TOE to its environment can be defined as the external interface of this IC, the Infineon M7820. For details concerning the CC evaluation of the Infineon IC and its cryptographic libraries see the evaluation documentation under the Certification ID BSI-DSZ-CC-0813-2012 [17]. This chapter gives an overview of the subsystems of the TOE's Embedded Software and the corresponding TSF which were objects of this evaluation.

The security functions of the TOE are:

- F.IC\_CL
- F.Access\_Control
- F.Identification\_Authentication
- F.Management
- F.Crypto
- F.Verification

According to the TOE design these security functions are enforced by the following subsystems:

- Application data (supports the TSF F.Access\_Control, F.Identification\_Authentication)
- Operation System Kernel (supports the TSF F.Access\_Control, F.Identification\_Authentication, F.Management, F.Crypto, F.Verification)
- HAL (supports the TSF F.IC\_CL, F.Crypto, F.Identification\_Authentication, F.Verification)
- Hardware (supports the TSF F.IC\_CL)

## 6. Documentation

The evaluated documentation as outlined in table 2 is being provided with the product to the customer. This documentation contains the required information for secure usage of the TOE in accordance with the Security Target.

Additional obligations and notes for secure usage of the TOE as outlined in chapter 10 of this report have to be followed.

## 7. IT Product Testing

The developer tested all TOE Security Functions either on real cards or with emulator tests. For all commands and functionality tests, test cases are specified in order to



demonstrate its expected behavior including error cases. Hereby a representative sample including all boundary values of the parameter set, e.g. all command APDUs with valid and invalid inputs were tested and all functions were tested with valid and invalid inputs. Repetition of developer tests were performed during the independent evaluator tests.

Since many Security Functions can be tested by TR-03110 APDU command sequences, the evaluators performed these tests with real cards. This is considered to be a reasonable approach because the developer tests include a full coverage of all security functionality. Furthermore penetration tests were chosen by the evaluators for those Security Functions where internal secrets of the card could maybe be modified or observed during testing. During their independent testing, the evaluators covered

- testing APDU commands related to Access Control,
- testing APDU commands related to Identification and Authentication,
- testing APDU commands related to the Creation of Digital Signatures,
- testing APDU commands related to the Secure Messaging Channel,
- penetration testing related to verify the Reliability of the TOE,
- source code analysis performed by the evaluators,
- testing the commands which are used to execute the EAC and PACE protocol,
- side channel analysis for SHA,
- fault injection attacks (laser attacks),
- testing APDU commands for the initialization, personalization and usage phase,
- testing APDU commands for the commands using cryptographic mechanisms, and
- the certification chain verification during Terminal Authentication

The evaluators have tested the TOE systematically against high attack potential during their penetration testing.

The achieved test results correspond to the expected test results.

## 8. Evaluated Configuration

This certification covers the following configuration of the TOE:

MTCOS Pro 2.2 EAC with PACE / SLE78CLX M7820 V2 consisting of

- the Infineon Technologies Smart Card IC (Security Controller) M7820 A11 with optional RSA2048/4096 v1.02.008, EC v1.02.008 and SHA-2 v1.01 libraries and Toolbox v1.02.008 libraries and with specific IC dedicated software,
- the IC embedded software,
- a file system in the context of the ICAO application, and
- the associated guidance documentation.

The IC embedded software consists of the operating system MTCOS Pro 2.2 and an application layer, consisting of the ICAO application. The customer specific ROM mask is labelled by Infineon as *MT07*. The name of the ROM file transferred from MaskTech International GmbH to Infineon Technologies AG is *mtcos\_sp\_v2.2\_sle78clx1600p.asc*.

Since an MRTD may have different file structures here the certified configuration of the TOE is addressed. The TOE has 28 different variations due to a total of six layouts, concretely the four layouts (LayoutA-80, LayoutB-80, LayoutF-80, and LayoutG-128) each with six curves (BP-256-3DES, BP-256-AES, BP-512-AES, NIST-256-3DES, NIST-256-AES and NIST-384-AES), and the two Layouts (Layout0-80 and Layout0-128) with two curves (BP-256-AES and NIST256-AES), whereby the curve concerns PACE and default Chip Authentication configuration and the identifier 3DES or AES determines the cryptographic algorithm used for secure messaging with default Chip Authentication configuration. The following table summarizes the possible curve settings for the layouts:

| Curve setting | EC Curve        | Default Chip Authentication SM configuration |
|---------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------|
| BP-256-3DES   | brainpoolP256r1 | 3DES                                         |
| BP-256-AES    | brainpoolP256r1 | AES-128                                      |
| BP-512-AES    | brainpoolP512r1 | AES-256                                      |
| NIST-256-3DES | nistP256        | 3DES                                         |
| NIST-256-AES  | nistP256        | AES-128                                      |
| NIST-384-AES  | nistP384        | AES-192                                      |

Table 3: Possible curve settings for the layouts

The configurations result from the different file system allocations, data groups and crypto-graphic parameters for secure messaging. Thus the TOE consists of the hardware applied with the following different initialisation / pre-personalisation files:

|    | Configuration            | Remark                                                                                                                                                                                |
|----|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1  | Layout0-80-BP-0-0        | The initialisation and pre-personalisation for Layout 0 is done in two discrete steps. The pre-personalised products correspond to Layout A (Layout 0-80) or Layout G (Layout 0-128). |
| 2  | Layout0-80-NIST-0-0      |                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| 3  | Layout0-128-BP-0-0       |                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| 4  | Layout0-128-NIST-0-0     |                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| 5  | LayoutA-80-BP-256-3DES   |                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| 6  | LayoutA-80-BP-256-AES    |                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| 7  | LayoutA-80-BP-512-AES    |                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| 8  | LayoutA-80-NIST-256-3DES |                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| 9  | LayoutA-80-NIST-256-AES  |                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| 10 | LayoutA-80-NIST-384-AES  |                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| 11 | LayoutB-80-BP-256-3DES   |                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| 12 | LayoutB-80-BP-256-AES    |                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| 13 | LayoutB-80-BP-512-AES    |                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| 14 | LayoutB-80-NIST-256-3DES |                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| 15 | LayoutB-80-NIST-256-AES  |                                                                                                                                                                                       |

|    |                           |  |
|----|---------------------------|--|
| 16 | LayoutB-80-NIST-384-AES   |  |
| 17 | LayoutF-80-BP-256-3DES    |  |
| 18 | LayoutF-80-BP-256-AES     |  |
| 19 | LayoutF-80-BP-512-AES     |  |
| 20 | LayoutF-80-NIST-256-3DES  |  |
| 21 | LayoutF-80-NIST-256-AES   |  |
| 22 | LayoutF-80-NIST-384-AES   |  |
| 23 | LayoutG-128-BP-256-3DES   |  |
| 24 | LayoutG-128-BP-256-AES    |  |
| 25 | LayoutG-128-BP-512-AES    |  |
| 26 | LayoutG-128-NIST-256-3DES |  |
| 27 | LayoutG-128-NIST-256-AES  |  |
| 28 | LayoutG-128-NIST-384-AES  |  |

Table 4: TOE Configurations

The initialisation and pre-personalisation file names are named: *Dummy-patch0v4-FSP-initscript-<configuration>.txt*.

In case of Layout 0 the pre-personalization process is concluded by a second script named: *Dummy-patch0v4-FSP-preperso-<configuration>.txt*.

For the initialisation and pre-personalisation step taking place at the Infineon waferfab, the developer created memory dumps of initialised EEPROM instances under the names: *Dummy-patch0v4-FSP-initscript-<configuration>.hex*

All files are maintained using the configuration management system Subversion. The version numbers of the above mentioned scripts are:

- Layout 0 initscript: SVN revision 14063, preperso script SVN revision 14504
- Layout A initscript: SVN revision 14504
- Layout B initscript: SVN revision 14504
- Layout F initscript: SVN revision 14504
- Layout G initscript: SVN revision 14504
- Layout 0, A-, B, F, G memory dumps: SVN revision 14537

## 9. Results of the Evaluation

### 9.1. CC specific results

The Evaluation Technical Report (ETR) [10] was provided by the ITSEF according to the Common Criteria [1], the Methodology [2], the requirements of the Scheme [3] and all interpretations and guidelines of the Scheme (AIS) [4] as relevant for the TOE.

The Evaluation Methodology CEM [2] was used for those components up to EAL5 extended by advice of the Certification Body for components beyond EAL 5 and guidance specific for the technology of the product [4] (AIS 34).

The following guidance specific for the technology was used:

- The Application of CC to Integrated Circuits,
- Application of Attack Potential to Smart Cards,
- Composite product evaluation for Smart Cards and similar devices (see AIS 36).  
According to this concept the relevant guidance documents of the underlying platform and the documents ETR for Composition from the platform evaluations (i.e. on hardware [17], [18]) have been applied in the TOE evaluation.

(see [4], AIS 25, AIS 26, AIS 36).

For RNG assessment the scheme interpretations AIS 31 was used (see [4]).

As a result of the evaluation the verdict PASS is confirmed for the following assurance components:

- All components of the EAL 5 package including the class ASE as defined in the CC (see also part C of this report)
- The components ALC\_DVS.2 and AVA\_VAN.5 augmented for this TOE evaluation.

As the evaluation work performed for this certification procedure was carried out as a re-evaluation based on the certificate BSI-DSZ-CC-0850-2013 [23], re-use of specific evaluation tasks was possible. The focus of this re-evaluation was on some changes in implementation to optimize the behavior of the TOE and the change of the Evaluation Assurance Level from EAL 4 to EAL 5.

The evaluation has confirmed:

- PP Conformance: Machine Readable Travel Document with "ICAO Application", Extended Access Control with PACE (EAC PP), Version 1.3.2, 05 December 2012, BSI-CC-PP-0056-V2-2012-MA-02 [7]
- for the Functionality: PP conformant  
Criteria Part 2 extended
- for the Assurance: Common Criteria Part 3 conformant  
EAL 5 augmented by ALC\_DVS.2 and AVA\_VAN.5

For specific evaluation results regarding the development and production environment see annex B in part D of this report.

The results of the evaluation are only applicable to the TOE as defined in chapter 2 and the configuration as outlined in chapter 8 above.

## 9.2. Results of cryptographic assessment

All cryptographic functionalities are described in detail in the Crypto Disclaimer of the Security Target [9], appendix A. The strength of the cryptographic algorithms was not rated in the course of this certification procedure (see BSIG Section 9, Para. 4, Clause 2).

## 10. Obligations and Notes for the Usage of the TOE

The documents as outlined in table 2 contain necessary information about the usage of the TOE and all security hints therein have to be considered. In addition all aspects of Assumptions, Threats and OSPs as outlined in the Security Target not covered by the TOE itself need to be fulfilled by the operational environment of the TOE.

The customer or user of the product shall consider the results of the certification within his system risk management process. In order for the evolution of attack methods and techniques to be covered, he should define the period of time until a re-assessment for the TOE is required and thus requested from the sponsor of the certificate.

## 11. Security Target

For the purpose of publishing, the Security Target [9] of the Target of Evaluation (TOE) is provided within a separate document as Annex A of this report. It is a sanitised version of the complete Security Target [6] used for the evaluation performed. Sanitisation was performed according to the rules as outlined in the relevant CCRA policy (see AIS 35 [4]).

## 12. Definitions

### 12.1. Acronyms

|              |                                                                                                              |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>AES</b>   | Advanced Encryption Standard                                                                                 |
| <b>AIS</b>   | Application Notes and Interpretations of the Scheme                                                          |
| <b>APDU</b>  | Application Protocol Data Unit                                                                               |
| <b>BAC</b>   | Basic Access Control                                                                                         |
| <b>BSI</b>   | Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik / Federal Office for Information Security, Bonn, Germany |
| <b>BSIG</b>  | BSI-Gesetz / Act on the Federal Office for Information Security                                              |
| <b>CCRA</b>  | Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement                                                                      |
| <b>CC</b>    | Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation                                                                   |
| <b>CEM</b>   | Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation                                            |
| <b>DES</b>   | Data Encryption Standard; symmetric block cipher algorithm                                                   |
| <b>EAC</b>   | Extended Access Control                                                                                      |
| <b>EAL</b>   | Evaluation Assurance Level                                                                                   |
| <b>ECC</b>   | Elliptic Curve Cryptography                                                                                  |
| <b>ETR</b>   | Evaluation Technical Report                                                                                  |
| <b>ICAO</b>  | International Civil Aviation Organisation                                                                    |
| <b>IT</b>    | Information Technology                                                                                       |
| <b>ITSEF</b> | Information Technology Security Evaluation Facility                                                          |
| <b>MAC</b>   | Message Authentication Code                                                                                  |
| <b>MRTD</b>  | Machine Readable Travel Document                                                                             |
| <b>PACE</b>  | Password Authenticated Connection Establishment                                                              |
| <b>PP</b>    | Protection Profile                                                                                           |
| <b>RNG</b>   | Random Number Generator                                                                                      |
| <b>SAR</b>   | Security Assurance Requirement                                                                               |
| <b>SFP</b>   | Security Function Policy                                                                                     |

|            |                                 |
|------------|---------------------------------|
| <b>SFR</b> | Security Functional Requirement |
| <b>SHA</b> | Secure Hash Algorithm           |
| <b>SM</b>  | Secure Messaging                |
| <b>ST</b>  | Security Target                 |
| <b>TOE</b> | Target of Evaluation            |
| <b>TSF</b> | TOE Security Functionality      |

## 12.2. Glossary

**Augmentation** - The addition of one or more requirement(s) to a package.

**Collaborative Protection Profile** - A Protection Profile collaboratively developed by an International Technical Community endorsed by the Management Committee.

**Extension** - The addition to an ST or PP of functional requirements not contained in CC part 2 and/or assurance requirements not contained in CC part 3.

**Formal** - Expressed in a restricted syntax language with defined semantics based on well-established mathematical concepts.

**Informal** - Expressed in natural language.

**Object** - A passive entity in the TOE, that contains or receives information, and upon which subjects perform operations.

**Package** - named set of either security functional or security assurance requirements

**Protection Profile** - A formal document defined in CC, expressing an implementation independent set of security requirements for a category of IT Products that meet specific consumer needs.

**Security Target** - An implementation-dependent statement of security needs for a specific identified TOE.

**Semiformal** - Expressed in a restricted syntax language with defined semantics.

**Subject** - An active entity in the TOE that performs operations on objects.

**Target of Evaluation** - An IT Product and its associated administrator and user guidance documentation that is the subject of an Evaluation.

**TOE Security Functionality** - Combined functionality of all hardware, software, and firmware of a TOE that must be relied upon for the correct enforcement of the SFRs.

### 13. Bibliography

- [1] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1,  
Part 1: Introduction and general model, Revision 4, September 2012  
Part 2: Security functional components, Revision 4, September 2012  
Part 3: Security assurance components, Revision 4, September 2012  
<http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org>
- [2] Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation (CEM),  
Evaluation Methodology, Version 3.1, Rev. 4, September 2012,  
<http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org>
- [3] BSI certification: Scheme documentation describing the certification process  
(CC-Produkte) and Scheme documentation on requirements for the Evaluation  
Facility, approval and licencing (CC-Stellen), <https://www.bsi.bund.de/zertifizierung>
- [4] Application Notes and Interpretations of the Scheme (AIS) as relevant for the TOE<sup>9</sup>,  
<https://www.bsi.bund.de/AIS>
- [5] German IT Security Certificates (BSI 7148), periodically updated list published also  
on the BSI Website, <https://www.bsi.bund.de/zertifizierungsberichte>
- [6] Security Target BSI-DSZ-CC-0941-2016, Version 0.7, 04.04.2016, Specification of  
the Security Target MTCOS Pro 2.2 EAC with PACE / SLE78CLX M7820 V2,  
MaskTech International GmbH (confidential document)
- [7] Protection Profile Machine Readable Travel Document with "ICAO Application",  
Extended Access Control with PACE (EAC PP), Version 1.3.2, 5 December 2012,  
BSI-CC-PP-0056-V2-2012-MA-02
- [8] Protection Profile Machine Readable Travel Document using Standard Inspection  
Procedure with PACE (PACE PP), Version 1.0, 02.11.2011,  
BSI-CC-PP-0068-V2-2011

---

<sup>9</sup>specifically

- AIS 20, Version 3, Funktionalitätsklassen und Evaluationsmethodologie für deterministische Zufallszahlengeneratoren
- AIS 25, Version 8, Anwendung der CC auf Integrierte Schaltungen including JIL Document and CC Supporting Document
- AIS 26, Version 9, Evaluationsmethodologie für in Hardware integrierte Schaltungen including JIL Document and CC Supporting Document
- AIS 31, Version 3, Funktionalitätsklassen und Evaluationsmethodologie für physikalische Zufallszahlengeneratoren
- AIS 32, Version 7, CC-Interpretationen im deutschen Zertifizierungsschema
- AIS 34, Version 3, Evaluation Methodology for CC Assurance Classes for EAL 5+ (CCv2.3 & CCv3.1) and EAL 6 (CCv3.1)
- AIS 35, Version 2, Öffentliche Fassung des Security Targets (ST-Lite) including JIL Document and CC Supporting Document and CCRA policies
- AIS 36, Version 4, Kompositionsevaluierung including JIL Document and CC Supporting Document
- AIS 38, Version 2, Reuse of evaluation results

- [9] Security Target - Public Version, BSI-DSZ-CC-0941-2016, Version 1.1, 18.04.2016, Specification of the Security Target MTCOS Pro 2.2 EAC with PACE / SLE78CLX M7820 V2, MaskTech International GmbH (sanitised public document)
- [10] Evaluation Technical Report, Version 1.7, 26.04.2016, - Evaluation Technical Report (ETR) - MTCOS Pro 2.2 EAC with PACE / SLE78CLX M7820 V2, SRC Security Research & Consulting GmbH (confidential document)
- [11] MTCOS Pro 2.2 EAC with PACE / (SLE78CLX) M7820 User Guidance, MaskTech International GmbH, Version 0.5, 24.09.2015
- [12] MTCOS Standard & Pro: Part 1 – File System and Related Commands, MaskTech GmbH, Version 3.1, 27.03.2014
- [13] MTCOS Standard & Pro: Part 2 – Access Control Mechanisms and Symmetric Cryptography, MaskTech GmbH, Version 2.1, 03.06.2013
- [14] MTCOS Pro: Part 3 – Digital Signature, MaskTech GmbH, Version 1.0, 22.05.2013
- [15] MTCOS Pro: Part 5 – Advanced Security Mechanisms, Asymmetric Cryptography, MaskTech GmbH, Version 2.0, 28.03.2013
- [16] MTCOS Std & Pro: Product Specification – MTCOS Pro V2.2 on SLE78CLX M7820 V2), MaskTech GmbH, Version 1.3, 04.09.2015
- [17] Certification Report, BSI-DSZ-CC-0813-2012 for Infineon Technologies Smart Card IC (Security Controller) M7820 A11 with optional RSA2048/4096 v1.02.008, EC v1.02.008 and SHA-2 v1.01 libraries and Toolbox v1.02.008 libraries and with specific IC dedicated software from Infineon Technologies AG, Version 1.0, 06.06.2012, BSI
- [18] ETR FOR COMPOSITE EVALUATION (ETR-COMP), Certification ID BSI-DSZ-CC-0813, TOE M7820 A11, TÜV Informationstechnik GmbH – Evaluation Body for IT Security, Version 3, 02.04.2014, and ETR FOR COMPOSITE EVALUATION (ETR-COMP), Certification ID BSI-DSZ-CC-0829-v2-2015, TOE M7820 A11, TÜV Informationstechnik GmbH, Version 5, 16.07.2015
- [19] Technical Guideline TR-03110-1, Advanced Security Mechanisms for Machine Readable Travel Documents – Part 1 – eMRTDs with BAC/PACEv2 and EACv1, Version 2.10, 20.03.2012, Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI)
- [20] Certification Report, BSI-DSZ-CC-S-0056-2015 for HID Global Ireland Teoranta, Pairc Tionscail naTulaigh, Baile na hAbhann, Co. Galway, Ireland (Building B1, B2, B3) of HID Global Ireland Teoranta, 02.10.2015, BSI
- [21] Certification Report, BSI-DSZ-CC-S-0057-2015 for Inlay Production and Initialisation of SMARTRAC Site Bangkok of SMARTRAC TECHNOLOGY Ltd., Bangkok, Thailand, 28.12.2015, BSI
- [22] Certification Report, BSI-DSZ-CC-S-0033-2014 for Trueb AG, Locations Aarau and Unterentfelden of Trueb AG, Switzerland, 05.06.2014, BSI
- [23] Certification Report BSI-DSZ-CC-0850-2013 for MTCOS Pro 2.2 EAC with PACE / SLE78CLX M7820 from MaskTech International GmbH, 07 October 2013, Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI)
- [24] Konfigurationsliste von MTCOS Pro 2.2 EAC with PACE / SLE78CLX M7820 V2, Version 0.3, 20.04.2016, MaskTech International GmbH (confidential document)



- [25] Machine Readable Travel Documents Technical Report, Supplemental Access Control for Machine Readable Travel Documents, International Civil Aviation Organization, Version 1.00, 11 November 2010
- [26] ICAO, Machine Readable Travel Documents, Part 1 - Machine Readable Passports. International Civil Aviation Organization, 2006
- [27] ICAO, Machine Readable Travel Documents, Part 3 - Machine Readable Official Travel Documents. International Civil Aviation Organization, 2008
- [28] Machine Readable Travel Documents Technical Report, PKI for Machine Readable Travel Documents Offering ICC Read-Only Access, Version - 1.1, Date - October 01, 2004, published by authority of the secretary general, International Civil Aviation Organization

This page is intentionally left blank.

## C. Excerpts from the Criteria

CC Part 1:

### Conformance Claim (chapter 10.4)

“The conformance claim indicates the source of the collection of requirements that is met by a PP or ST that passes its evaluation. This conformance claim contains a CC conformance claim that:

- describes the version of the CC to which the PP or ST claims conformance.
- describes the conformance to CC Part 2 (security functional requirements) as either:
  - **CC Part 2 conformant** - A PP or ST is CC Part 2 conformant if all SFRs in that PP or ST are based only upon functional components in CC Part 2, or
  - **CC Part 2 extended** - A PP or ST is CC Part 2 extended if at least one SFR in that PP or ST is not based upon functional components in CC Part 2.
- describes the conformance to CC Part 3 (security assurance requirements) as either:
  - **CC Part 3 conformant** - A PP or ST is CC Part 3 conformant if all SARs in that PP or ST are based only upon assurance components in CC Part 3, or
  - **CC Part 3 extended** - A PP or ST is CC Part 3 extended if at least one SAR in that PP or ST is not based upon assurance components in CC Part 3.

Additionally, the conformance claim may include a statement made with respect to packages, in which case it consists of one of the following:

- Package name Conformant - A PP or ST is conformant to a pre-defined package (e.g. EAL) if:
  - the SFRs of that PP or ST are identical to the SFRs in the package, or
  - the SARs of that PP or ST are identical to the SARs in the package.
- Package name Augmented - A PP or ST is an augmentation of a predefined package if:
  - the SFRs of that PP or ST contain all SFRs in the package, but have at least one additional SFR or one SFR that is hierarchically higher than an SFR in the package.
  - the SARs of that PP or ST contain all SARs in the package, but have at least one additional SAR or one SAR that is hierarchically higher than an SAR in the package.

Note that when a TOE is successfully evaluated to a given ST, any conformance claims of the ST also hold for the TOE. A TOE can therefore also be e.g. CC Part 2 conformant.

Finally, the conformance claim may also include two statements with respect to Protection Profiles:

- PP Conformant - A PP or TOE meets specific PP(s), which are listed as part of the conformance result.
- Conformance Statement (Only for PPs) - This statement describes the manner in which PPs or STs must conform to this PP: strict or demonstrable. For more information on this Conformance Statement, see Annex D.”

## CC Part 3:

**Class APE: Protection Profile evaluation** (chapter 10)

“Evaluating a PP is required to demonstrate that the PP is sound and internally consistent, and, if the PP is based on one or more other PPs or on packages, that the PP is a correct instantiation of these PPs and packages. These properties are necessary for the PP to be suitable for use as the basis for writing an ST or another PP.

| Assurance Class                          | Assurance Components                                                                           |
|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Class APE: Protection Profile evaluation | APE_INT.1 PP introduction                                                                      |
|                                          | APE_CCL.1 Conformance claims                                                                   |
|                                          | APE_SPD.1 Security problem definition                                                          |
|                                          | APE_OBJ.1 Security objectives for the operational environment<br>APE_OBJ.2 Security objectives |
|                                          | APE_ECD.1 Extended components definition                                                       |
|                                          | APE_REQ.1 Stated security requirements<br>APE_REQ.2 Derived security requirements              |

APE: Protection Profile evaluation class decomposition”

**Class ASE: Security Target evaluation** (chapter 11)

“Evaluating an ST is required to demonstrate that the ST is sound and internally consistent, and, if the ST is based on one or more PPs or packages, that the ST is a correct instantiation of these PPs and packages. These properties are necessary for the ST to be suitable for use as the basis for a TOE evaluation.”

| Assurance Class                       | Assurance Components                                                                                         |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Class ASE: Security Target evaluation | ASE_INT.1 ST introduction                                                                                    |
|                                       | ASE_CCL.1 Conformance claims                                                                                 |
|                                       | ASE_SPD.1 Security problem definition                                                                        |
|                                       | ASE_OBJ.1 Security objectives for the operational environment<br>ASE_OBJ.2 Security objectives               |
|                                       | ASE_ECD.1 Extended components definition                                                                     |
|                                       | ASE_REQ.1 Stated security requirements<br>ASE_REQ.2 Derived security requirements                            |
|                                       | ASE_TSS.1 TOE summary specification<br>ASE_TSS.2 TOE summary specification with architectural design summary |

ASE: Security Target evaluation class decomposition

## Security assurance components (chapter 7)

“The following Sections describe the constructs used in representing the assurance classes, families, and components.”

“Each assurance class contains at least one assurance family.”

“Each assurance family contains one or more assurance components.”

The following table shows the assurance class decomposition.

| Assurance Class         | Assurance Components                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ADV: Development        | ADV_ARC.1 Security architecture description                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|                         | ADV_FSP.1 Basic functional specification<br>ADV_FSP.2 Security-enforcing functional specification<br>ADV_FSP.3 Functional specification with complete summary<br>ADV_FSP.4 Complete functional specification<br>ADV_FSP.5 Complete semi-formal functional specification with additional error information<br>ADV_FSP.6 Complete semi-formal functional specification with additional formal specification |
|                         | ADV_IMP.1 Implementation representation of the TSF<br>ADV_IMP.2 Implementation of the TSF                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|                         | ADV_INT.1 Well-structured subset of TSF internals<br>ADV_INT.2 Well-structured internals<br>ADV_INT.3 Minimally complex internals                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
|                         | ADV_SPM.1 Formal TOE security policy model                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|                         | ADV_TDS.1 Basic design<br>ADV_TDS.2 Architectural design<br>ADV_TDS.3 Basic modular design<br>ADV_TDS.4 Semiformal modular design<br>ADV_TDS.5 Complete semiformal modular design<br>ADV_TDS.6 Complete semiformal modular design with formal high-level design presentation                                                                                                                              |
|                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| AGD: Guidance documents | AGD_OPE.1 Operational user guidance                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|                         | AGD_PRE.1 Preparative procedures                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| ALC: Life cycle support | ALC_CMC.1 Labelling of the TOE<br>ALC_CMC.2 Use of a CM system<br>ALC_CMC.3 Authorisation controls<br>ALC_CMC.4 Production support, acceptance procedures and automation<br>ALC_CMC.5 Advanced support                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|                         | ALC_CMS.1 TOE CM coverage<br>ALC_CMS.2 Parts of the TOE CM coverage<br>ALC_CMS.3 Implementation representation CM coverage<br>ALC_CMS.4 Problem tracking CM coverage<br>ALC_CMS.5 Development tools CM coverage                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|                         | ALC_DEL.1 Delivery procedures                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|                         | ALC_DVS.1 Identification of security measures<br>ALC_DVS.2 Sufficiency of security measures                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|                         | ALC_FLR.1 Basic flaw remediation<br>ALC_FLR.2 Flaw reporting procedures<br>ALC_FLR.3 Systematic flaw remediation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|                         | ALC_LCD.1 Developer defined life-cycle model                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |

| Assurance Class               | Assurance Components                                           |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
|                               | ALC_LCD.2 Measurable life-cycle model                          |
|                               | ALC_TAT.1 Well-defined development tools                       |
|                               | ALC_TAT.2 Compliance with implementation standards             |
|                               | ALC_TAT.3 Compliance with implementation standards - all parts |
| ATE: Tests                    | ATE_COV.1 Evidence of coverage                                 |
|                               | ATE_COV.2 Analysis of coverage                                 |
|                               | ATE_COV.3 Rigorous analysis of coverage                        |
|                               | ATE_DPT.1 Testing: basic design                                |
|                               | ATE_DPT.2 Testing: security enforcing modules                  |
|                               | ATE_DPT.3 Testing: modular design                              |
|                               | ATE_DPT.4 Testing: implementation representation               |
|                               | ATE_FUN.1 Functional testing                                   |
|                               | ATE_FUN.2 Ordered functional testing                           |
|                               | ATE_IND.1 Independent testing – conformance                    |
|                               | ATE_IND.2 Independent testing – sample                         |
|                               | ATE_IND.3 Independent testing – complete                       |
| AVA: Vulnerability assessment | AVA_VAN.1 Vulnerability survey                                 |
|                               | AVA_VAN.2 Vulnerability analysis                               |
|                               | AVA_VAN.3 Focused vulnerability analysis                       |
|                               | AVA_VAN.4 Methodical vulnerability analysis                    |
|                               | AVA_VAN.5 Advanced methodical vulnerability analysis           |

Assurance class decomposition

## Evaluation assurance levels (chapter 8)

“The Evaluation Assurance Levels (EALs) provide an increasing scale that balances the level of assurance obtained with the cost and feasibility of acquiring that degree of assurance. The CC approach identifies the separate concepts of assurance in a TOE at the end of the evaluation, and of maintenance of that assurance during the operational use of the TOE.

It is important to note that not all families and components from CC Part 3 are included in the EALs. This is not to say that these do not provide meaningful and desirable assurances. Instead, it is expected that these families and components will be considered for augmentation of an EAL in those PPs and STs for which they provide utility.”

### Evaluation assurance level (EAL) overview (chapter 8.1)

“Table 1 represents a summary of the EALs. The columns represent a hierarchically ordered set of EALs, while the rows represent assurance families. Each number in the resulting matrix identifies a specific assurance component where applicable.

As outlined in the next Section, seven hierarchically ordered evaluation assurance levels are defined in the CC for the rating of a TOE's assurance. They are hierarchically ordered inasmuch as each EAL represents more assurance than all lower EALs. The increase in assurance from EAL to EAL is accomplished by substitution of a hierarchically higher assurance component from the same assurance family (i.e. increasing rigour, scope, and/or depth) and from the addition of assurance components from other assurance families (i.e. adding new requirements).

These EALs consist of an appropriate combination of assurance components as described in Chapter 7 of this CC Part 3. More precisely, each EAL includes no more than one

component of each assurance family and all assurance dependencies of every component are addressed.

While the EALs are defined in the CC, it is possible to represent other combinations of assurance. Specifically, the notion of “augmentation” allows the addition of assurance components (from assurance families not already included in the EAL) or the substitution of assurance components (with another hierarchically higher assurance component in the same assurance family) to an EAL. Of the assurance constructs defined in the CC, only EALs may be augmented. The notion of an “EAL minus a constituent assurance component” is not recognised by the standard as a valid claim. Augmentation carries with it the obligation on the part of the claimant to justify the utility and added value of the added assurance component to the EAL. An EAL may also be augmented with extended assurance requirements.

### **Evaluation assurance level 1 (EAL 1) - functionally tested (chapter 8.3)**

#### **“Objectives**

EAL 1 is applicable where some confidence in correct operation is required, but the threats to security are not viewed as serious. It will be of value where independent assurance is required to support the contention that due care has been exercised with respect to the protection of personal or similar information.

EAL 1 requires only a limited security target. It is sufficient to simply state the SFRs that the TOE must meet, rather than deriving them from threats, OSPs and assumptions through security objectives.

EAL 1 provides an evaluation of the TOE as made available to the customer, including independent testing against a specification, and an examination of the guidance documentation provided. It is intended that an EAL 1 evaluation could be successfully conducted without assistance from the developer of the TOE, and for minimal outlay.

An evaluation at this level should provide evidence that the TOE functions in a manner consistent with its documentation.”

### **Evaluation assurance level 2 (EAL 2) - structurally tested (chapter 8.4)**

#### **“Objectives**

EAL 2 requires the co-operation of the developer in terms of the delivery of design information and test results, but should not demand more effort on the part of the developer than is consistent with good commercial practise. As such it should not require a substantially increased investment of cost or time.

EAL 2 is therefore applicable in those circumstances where developers or users require a low to moderate level of independently assured security in the absence of ready availability of the complete development record. Such a situation may arise when securing legacy systems, or where access to the developer may be limited.”

### **Evaluation assurance level 3 (EAL 3) - methodically tested and checked (chapter 8.5)**

#### **“Objectives**

EAL 3 permits a conscientious developer to gain maximum assurance from positive security engineering at the design stage without substantial alteration of existing sound development practises.

EAL 3 is applicable in those circumstances where developers or users require a moderate level of independently assured security, and require a thorough investigation of the TOE and its development without substantial re-engineering.”

**Evaluation assurance level 4 (EAL 4) - methodically designed, tested, and reviewed** (chapter 8.6)

“Objectives

EAL 4 permits a developer to gain maximum assurance from positive security engineering based on good commercial development practises which, though rigorous, do not require substantial specialist knowledge, skills, and other resources. EAL 4 is the highest level at which it is likely to be economically feasible to retrofit to an existing product line.

EAL 4 is therefore applicable in those circumstances where developers or users require a moderate to high level of independently assured security in conventional commodity TOEs and are prepared to incur additional security-specific engineering costs.”

**Evaluation assurance level 5 (EAL 5) - semiformally designed and tested** (chapter 8.7)

“Objectives

EAL 5 permits a developer to gain maximum assurance from security engineering based upon rigorous commercial development practises supported by moderate application of specialist security engineering techniques. Such a TOE will probably be designed and developed with the intent of achieving EAL 5 assurance. It is likely that the additional costs attributable to the EAL 5 requirements, relative to rigorous development without the application of specialised techniques, will not be large.

EAL 5 is therefore applicable in those circumstances where developers or users require a high level of independently assured security in a planned development and require a rigorous development approach without incurring unreasonable costs attributable to specialist security engineering techniques.”

**Evaluation assurance level 6 (EAL 6) - semiformally verified design and tested** (chapter 8.8)

“Objectives

EAL 6 permits developers to gain high assurance from application of security engineering techniques to a rigorous development environment in order to produce a premium TOE for protecting high value assets against significant risks.

EAL 6 is therefore applicable to the development of security TOEs for application in high risk situations where the value of the protected assets justifies the additional costs.”

**Evaluation assurance level 7 (EAL 7) - formally verified design and tested** (chapter 8.9)

“Objectives

EAL 7 is applicable to the development of security TOEs for application in extremely high risk situations and/or where the high value of the assets justifies the higher costs. Practical application of EAL 7 is currently limited to TOEs with tightly focused security functionality that is amenable to extensive formal analysis.”



| Assurance Class            | Assurance Family | Assurance Components by Evaluation Assurance Level |       |       |       |       |       |       |
|----------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                            |                  | EAL 1                                              | EAL 2 | EAL 3 | EAL 4 | EAL 5 | EAL 6 | EAL 7 |
| Development                | ADV_ARC          |                                                    | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     |
|                            | ADV_FSP          | 1                                                  | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 5     | 6     |
|                            | ADV_IMP          |                                                    |       |       | 1     | 1     | 2     | 2     |
|                            | ADV_INT          |                                                    |       |       |       | 2     | 3     | 3     |
|                            | ADV_SPM          |                                                    |       |       |       |       | 1     | 1     |
|                            | ADV_TDS          |                                                    | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 6     |
| Guidance Documents         | AGD_OPE          | 1                                                  | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     |
|                            | AGD_PRE          | 1                                                  | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     |
| Life cycle Support         | ALC_CMC          | 1                                                  | 2     | 3     | 4     | 4     | 5     | 5     |
|                            | ALC_CMS          | 1                                                  | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 5     | 5     |
|                            | ALC_DEL          |                                                    | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     |
|                            | ALC_DVS          |                                                    |       | 1     | 1     | 1     | 2     | 2     |
|                            | ALC_FLR          |                                                    |       |       |       |       |       |       |
|                            | ALC_LCD          |                                                    |       | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 2     |
|                            | ALC_TAT          |                                                    |       |       | 1     | 2     | 3     | 3     |
| Security Target Evaluation | ASE_CCL          | 1                                                  | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     |
|                            | ASE_ECD          | 1                                                  | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     |
|                            | ASE_INT          | 1                                                  | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     |
|                            | ASE_OBJ          | 1                                                  | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     |
|                            | ASR_REQ          | 1                                                  | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     |
|                            | ASE_SPD          |                                                    | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     |
|                            | ASE_TSS          | 1                                                  | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     |
| Tests                      | ATE_COV          |                                                    | 1     | 2     | 2     | 2     | 3     | 3     |
|                            | ATE_DPT          |                                                    |       | 1     | 1     | 3     | 3     | 4     |
|                            | ATE_FUN          |                                                    | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 2     | 2     |
|                            | ATE_IND          | 1                                                  | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     | 3     |
| Vulnerability assessment   | AVA_VAN          | 1                                                  | 2     | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 5     |

Table 1: Evaluation assurance level summary”

**Class AVA: Vulnerability assessment** (chapter 16)

“The AVA: Vulnerability assessment class addresses the possibility of exploitable vulnerabilities introduced in the development or the operation of the TOE.”

**Vulnerability analysis (AVA\_VAN)** (chapter 16.1)**“Objectives**

Vulnerability analysis is an assessment to determine whether potential vulnerabilities identified, during the evaluation of the development and anticipated operation of the TOE or by other methods (e.g. by flaw hypotheses or quantitative or statistical analysis of the security behaviour of the underlying security mechanisms), could allow attackers to violate the SFRs.

Vulnerability analysis deals with the threats that an attacker will be able to discover flaws that will allow unauthorised access to data and functionality, allow the ability to interfere with or alter the TSF, or interfere with the authorised capabilities of other users.”

## **D. Annexes**

### **List of annexes of this certification report**

- Annex A: Security Target provided within a separate document.
- Annex B: Evaluation results regarding development and production environment

This page is intentionally left blank.

## Annex B of Certification Report BSI-DSZ-CC-0941-2016

### Evaluation results regarding development and production environment



The IT product MTCOS Pro 2.2 EAC with PACE / SLE78CLX M7820 V2 (Target of Evaluation, TOE) has been evaluated at an approved evaluation facility using the Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation (CEM), Version 3.1 extended by advice of the Certification Body for components beyond EAL 5 and guidance specific for the technology of the product for conformance to the Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation (CC), Version 3.1.

As a result of the TOE certification, dated 29 April 2016, the following results regarding the development and production environment apply. The Common Criteria assurance requirements ALC – Life cycle support (i.e. ALC\_CMC.4, ALC\_CMS.5, ALC\_DEL.1, ALC\_DVS.2, ALC\_LCD.1, ALC\_TAT.2)

are fulfilled for the development and production sites of the TOE listed below:

- a) MaskTech International GmbH, Nordostpark 16, 90411 Nuremberg, Germany (Development)
- b) HID Global, Pairc Tionscail na Tulaigh, Baile na hAbhann, Galway, Ireland, BSI-DSZ-CC-S-0056-2015 [20] (External initialisation)
- c) SmarTrac Technology Ltd, 142/121/115 Moo, Hi-Tech Industrial Estate Tambon Ban Laean, Amphor Bang-pa-in 13160 Ayutthaya, Thailand, BSI-DSZ-CC-S-0057-2015 [21] (External initialisation)
- d) Trueb AG<sup>10</sup>, Switzerland, Hintere Bahnhofsstrasse 12, CH-5001 Aarau, BSI-DSZ-CC-S-0033-2014 [22] (External initialisation)
- e) Trueb AG<sup>11</sup>, Switzerland, Suhrenmattstrasse 23, CH-5035 Unterentfelden, BSI-DSZ-CC-S-0033-2014 [22] (External initialisation)

For development and production sites regarding the platform please refer to the certification report BSI-DSZ-CC-0813-2012 [17].

For the sites listed above, the requirements have been specifically applied in accordance with the Security Target [6]. The evaluators verified, that the threats, security objectives and requirements for the TOE life cycle phases up to delivery (as stated in the Security Target [6]) are fulfilled by the procedures of these sites.

---

<sup>10</sup> Since March 2015 the owner of the site is Gemalto AG

<sup>11</sup> Since March 2015 the owner of the site is Gemalto AG

This page is intentionally left blank.